

The importance of norbuprenorphine cross-reactivity in a buprenorphine urine immunoassay ¹Michael Vincent, ¹Kim Huynh, ²James Bourland, ¹ Guohong Wang, ¹Cynthia Coulter, ¹Christine Moore ¹Immunalysis Corporation, Pomona, CA² Ameritox LLC, Midland, TX

Introduction

Buprenorphine, an opiate antagonist is In the MCG assay: since extensive crossreactivity with opiates and opiate metabolized by N-dealkylation to glucuronides has been observed, the use of norbuprenorphine, followed by glucuronide conjugation of both buprenorphine (BUP) and an elevated cut-off concentration of 30ng/mL rather than 5ng/mL has been suggested (1). norbuprenorphine (nor-BUP) for excretion in urine.

Immunoassays which do not detect norbuprenorphine are subject to higher false negative rates since not all specimens from buprenorphine users contain the parent drug.

Objectives

 To evaluate the analytical performance of two commercially available urine buprenorphine immunoassays: Immunalysis (IMM) & Microgenics (MCG)

 To assess the importance of the different characteristics of the assays

IMM has almost identical cross-reactivity (100%) with both free BUP and nor-BUP; MCG has cross reactivity with free BUP and conjugated BUP but no nor-BUP crossreactivity.



Methods

 MCG screening cut-off set to 20ng/mL as proposed by a reference laboratory rather than 30ng/mL as suggested in the literature, in an attempt to minimize both false negatives due to non-detection of nor-BUP; and false positives due to cross-reactivity with opiates and other glucuronides

 IMM screening cut-off set to the IMM recommendation of 5ng/mL (since no opioid cross reactivity was observed at this level)

 Specimens from patients treated with BUP and from patients taking other opiates were analyzed using both homogeneous enzyme immunoassays (HEIA)

•All specimens were additionally analyzed by LC-MS/MS for BUP, nor-BUP and corresponding glucuronides at a cut-off concentration of 5ng/mL





14 specimens were discrepant using the MCG assay. 13 were confirmed as false negatives of which five contained free norbuprenorphine at concentrations >20ng/mL; the rest had free buprenorphine <20ng/mL. One was a false positive compared to LC-MS/MS. The IMM assay produced one false negative result. In further specificity testing, the MCG assay gave false positive results with100 µg/mL concentrations of dihydrocodeine, codeine and norpropoxyphene, while the IMM assay showed no response at that concentration.

Results and Discussion				
		MCG 20ng/mL		4
		+	-	
/ 5ng/mL	╉	49	13	
		1	37	
		LC-MS/MS 5 ng/mL		
		-	-	V
/I 5ng/mL	÷	62	1	a to
		0	37	n S

At the concentrations described, the performance of the assays was as follows:

Sens Spea Acc

Vhen compliance testing is the main goal of in application, a high false negative rate due o the inability to detect the metabolite orbuprenorphine is a drawback. In 515 specimens taken from daily users of BUP the positive rates for BUP, Nor-BUP, BUP-gluc and Nor-BUP gluc were 1.2%, 63.9%, 89.9% and 96.5% respectively (2). While MCG assay detects BUP-gluc, other opiate glucuronides potentially present in urine may lead to false positives.



IMMUNALYSIS

Summary

	IMM	MCG
sitivity	100%	79%
cificity	97%	97%
uracy	99%	86%

Reference

1. Pavlic M et al. Int J Legal Med 119(6); 2005:378-81 2. Kacinko S. et al. *Clin Chem* 55(6); 2009: 1177-87

Toxicology 2010